



17 July 2026

Secretary of State c/o
Mr Matthew Sheard
The Planning Inspectorate
National Infrastructure Planning
Temple Quay House
Temple Quay
Bristol
BS1 6PN

Dear Matthew,

Applicant response to Section 51 advice regarding submitted Application Documents (Issued 25 June 2026)

Planning Inspectorate Application Ref: WA010002

On 21 May 2026 Southern Water submitted the DCO application to the Planning Inspectorate. The application was formally received on 28 May 2026. The Planning Inspectorate confirmed the project satisfied the acceptance tests under section 55 of the Planning Act 2008 (PA2008) on 25 June 2026 and the Planning Inspectorate's Section 55 Checklist ([PD-002](#)) was published on the project page of the Planning Inspectorate's website.

In undertaking its checks at the acceptance stage, the Planning Inspectorate made a number of observations in relation to the application. These were sent to the Applicant by letter on 25 June 2026 in the form of advice provided under section 51 of the PA2008. The applicant has reviewed the advice and its response is set out within the 'Applicant's response to section 51 advice' document (attached).


The following submission documents have been updated in response to the section 51 advice and have been uploaded to the Planning Inspectorate's SharePoint site.

S51 Submission Document	To replace Planning Inspectorate Ref
Guide to the Application (Document reference 1.3, DCO Volume 1, Version 2) - Tracked and Clean	APP-003
Draft Development Consent Order Statutory Instrument Validation Report	N/A
Land Plans – 1 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.2, DCO Volume 2, Version 1)	APP-009
Land Plans – 2 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.2, DCO Volume 2, Version 1)	APP-010
Land Plans – 3 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.2, DCO Volume 2, Version 1)	APP-011
Works Plans – 1 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.3, DCO Volume 2, Version 1)	APP-012

S51 Submission Document	To replace Planning Inspectorate Ref
Works Plans – 2 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.3, DCO Volume 2, Version 1)	APP-013
Plans of statutory and non-statutory sites or features (Document reference 2.7, DCO Volume 2, Version 1)	APP-020
Consultation Report Appendix G – Statutory Spring 2025 Consultation – 1 of 2 documents (Document reference 5.1, DCO Volume 5, Version 1) Tracked and Clean	APP-049
Habitats Regulations Assessment – Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment and Marine Conservation Zone – Stage 1 Assessment (Document reference 5.2, DCO Volume 5, Version 1) Tracked and Clean	APP-053
Planning Policy Statement (Document reference 5.5, DCO Volume 5, Version 1) Tracked and Clean	APP-056
ES Vol III - ES Figure 8.1 Statutory designated sites within the desk study area (Document reference 6.3, DCO Volume 6, Version 1)	APP-231
ES Vol III - ES Figure 8.2 Internationally designated sites within the desk study area (Document reference 6.3, DCO Volume 6, Version 1)	APP-232
ES Vol III - ES Figure 8.3 Non-statutory designated sites within the desk study area (Document reference 6.3, DCO Volume 6, Version 1)	APP-233

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any queries about our response.

Yours sincerely,



Planning & Consents Lead, HWTWRP
@southernwater.co.uk

1 Applicant response table

Table 1 Applicant's response to section 51 advice

Section 51 advice reference	Summary of section 51 advice	Applicant's response
S51 letter: Minor errors and omissions	There are minor errors and omissions, as reflected in Box 30 of the acceptance checklist which require consideration by the Applicant.	The subsequent rows in this table address the comments in Box 30 of the Section 55 Checklist (PD-002).
S51 letter: General	It is advised for all documents to include a document control sheet; they are necessary for tracking changes to documents during examination. The document control sheet should appear immediately after the cover sheet.	A document control sheet will be added at the front of each document as and when submission documents are updated and resubmitted to the Planning Inspectorate. The version numbers which appear on the front cover of each document will be updated when submission documents are updated and sent to the Planning Inspectorate. The Guide to the Application (Document reference 1.3, DCO Volume 1, Version 2) will also be updated and sent to the Planning Inspectorate each time a submission document is updated – this will provide the full list of documents and their current version number.
	Additional general observations have been recorded in Box 30 of the acceptance checklist and require consideration by the application.	Subsequent rows in this table address the comments in Box 30 of the Section 55 Checklist (PD-002).

Section 51 advice reference	Summary of section 51 advice	Applicant's response
S51 letter: Draft Development Consent Order	Stated under the heading, 'The draft Development Consent Order' on Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects: Advice on the Preparation and Submission of Application Documents - GOV.UK are supporting documents that should be submitted alongside a PDF version of the draft DCO in the SI template. The applicant is advised to provide the three missing supporting documents at the earliest opportunity.	The PDF version of the Draft Development Consent Order (APP-023) in the Statutory Instrument (SI) template was submitted to the Planning Inspectorate on 21 May 2026. The Planning Inspectorate has stated that the three missing supporting documents comprise: (1) The email notification from https://publishing.legislation.gov.uk/validation confirming the document has successfully passed validation. (2) The PDF version of the SI validation report obtained from the link in the notification email. (3) A clean (all tracking removed) standalone MS Word version of the Draft Development Consent Order, with no header or cover page. The Applicant sent to the Planning Inspectorate documents (1) and (3) in an email on 21 May 2026, at the time of submission. A Draft Development Consent Order Statutory Instrument Validation Report (document (2)) is submitted alongside this response.
S51 Letter: Land Plans and Works Plans	The applicant is advised that, to avoid confusion, only categories that are reflected on the respective sheet should be present in the legend. They should also be clearly labelled on the sheet. It is recommended that a review of approaches is undertaken to	The Applicant has adopted a consistent approach whereby the same legend is shown on every sheet within a plan set, irrespective of whether all categories are represented on a particular sheet. The Applicant considers that using a standard legend across all sheets improves usability, supports efficient review and analysis, and reduces the risk of misinterpretation.

Section 51 advice reference	Summary of section 51 advice	Applicant's response
	ensure consistency across all plans. For example, on sheet 3 of Land Plans – 1 of 3 documents (Doc 2.2) the legend currently displays the 'pink' sector of land (freehold to be compulsorily acquired), with no corresponding plots on the sheet.	It is noted that this approach is consistent with that adopted for the Norwich to Tilbury, Lower Thames Crossing and the Southampton to London Pipeline Project applications. Where there is potential for small plots or parcels of land to be missed or difficult to identify due to their size, insets have been used as required to more readily identify these. When considering this advice, the Applicant identified that a number of sheets of the Land Plans – 1 of 3 documents (APP-009), the Land Plans – 2 of 3 documents (APP-010), and the Land Plans – 3 of 3 documents (APP-011) did not apply the above approach consistently, whereby the same legend is shown on all sheets. Therefore, updated versions of the Land Plans – 1 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.2, DCO Volume 2, Version 1), the Land Plans – 2 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.2, DCO Volume 2, Version 1), and the Land Plans – 3 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.2, DCO Volume 2, Version 1) are submitted alongside this response to ensure consistency of approach across all plans.
	The applicant is further advised to check all plans to ensure details are consistent between the plans and the Book of Reference (BoR) and that there is sufficient labelling to identify all the relevant features.	The Applicant is undertaking a review of all the Land Plans – 1 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.2, DCO Volume 2, Version 1), the Land Plans – 2 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.2, DCO Volume 2, Version 1), and the Land Plans – 3 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.2, DCO Volume 2, Version 1) to ensure details are consistent between the plans and the Book of Reference (APP-027). The Applicant will provide updated Land Plans along with the Book of Reference

Section 51 advice reference	Summary of section 51 advice	Applicant's response
		when requested by the Examining Authority at appropriate deadlines during the examination.
	Examples of inconsistencies and insufficient labelling can be found in Box 30 of the acceptance checklist for the applicant to consider.	The subsequent rows in this table address the comments in Box 30 of the Section 55 Checklist (PD-002).
S51 Letter: Planning Policy Statement	Some of the references in the document are incorrect. The applicant is advised to review the document and address the errors. An example of such an error can be found at paragraph 5.1.2 "This is explained further in the Explanatory Memorandum (Document reference 3.2, Volume 3)". Where to find this explanation in the Explanatory Memorandum is not provided. The paragraph number should be provided to aid the reader.	The reference at paragraph 5.1.2 of the Planning Policy Statement (APP-056) to the Explanatory Memorandum to Draft Order (APP-024) is not incorrect (it is Document reference 3.2, DCO Volume 3 as stated). The Applicant has, however, updated the Planning Policy Statement (Document reference 5.5, DCO Volume 5, Version 1) in full to provide specific section references to provide greater clarity as to the relevant part of a document where referenced.
S51 Letter: Draft SoCG	Article 46(10) and Schedule 8 of the draft DCO contain provisions that would modify the application of certain legislative provisions for the purposes of the authorised development and Havant Thicket Reservoir infrastructure. This is being constructed by Portsmouth Water under separate planning permissions but would be used by the proposed development. Schedule 8 includes	The Applicant is in discussions with the Environment Agency to clarify its position in respect of the proposed legislative modifications https://nsip-documents.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/published-documents/WA010002-000284-5.8 Draft Statement of Common Ground - Environment Agency.pdf . Once the position has been clarified, the updated Draft Statement of Common

Section 51 advice reference	Summary of section 51 advice	Applicant's response
	provisions that would govern the form of abstraction licence(s) for Havant Thicket Reservoir providing for a minimum abstraction rate of 115 megalitres per day, abstraction from Bedhampton Springs and for abstraction licence(s) to remain in force until revoked. The Explanatory Memorandum provides an explanation for the provisions. Whilst the draft Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) with the Environment Agency (Document reference 5.8) (regulator of abstraction licences) acknowledges that an https://national-infrastructure-consenting.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/ abstraction licence would be required and applied for subsequent to DCO application, is silent on the matter of modification of the legislative provisions. The applicant is advised to provide an updated draft SoCG with the EA that sets out the parties' positions on the proposed modifications.	Ground – Environment Agency (APP-067) will be updated and shared with the Planning Inspectorate.
S55 checklist: row 19 a) (S48)	Cannot find Hampshire Independent clipping which is listed in the Section 55 Acceptance of Application.	The Applicant published a section 48 notice in the Hampshire Independent on 28 February 2025 to publicise the Spring 2025 Consultation. A copy of this notice was omitted in error from

Section 51 advice reference	Summary of section 51 advice	Applicant's response
		the application submission in May. This has been corrected and a copy of this notice is included in an updated version of Consultation Report Appendix G – Statutory Spring 2025 Consultation – 1 of 2 documents (Document reference 5.1, DCO Volume 5, Version 1) which is submitted alongside this response.
S55 checklist: row 21 (S48)	The Planning Inspectorate stated that the dates recorded in box 19a of the Section 55 Acceptance documentation do not marry with the newspaper advertisement dates listed in Table 10-3 of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.1).	The advertisements listed in table 10-3 in the Consultation Report (APP-029) are general advertisements and not section 48 notices. The dates on which the section 48 notices were published for the Spring 2025 Consultation are listed in table 10-5 in the Consultation Report (APP-029). The Applicant can confirm that no updates are required and there are no discrepancies of dates.
Applicant's Response Table: S55 Checklist: Row 29 (S50) A) and L)	The Planning Inspectorate confirmed that the Environmental Statement submitted with the DCO application is of a satisfactory standard subject to minor discrepancies noted in box 30.	Responses to the observations in box 30 relating to the ES are covered by the responses below.
Applicant's Response Table: S55 Checklist: Row 30 (S50): General	Giving document series (such as SoCGs) the same reference number, rather than alphanumeric sub-numbering e.g. Doc 5.1, 5.1A, 5.1B, can cause confusion as multiple documents share the same reference. It is suggested the applicant review their	The Planning Inspectorate has now published the Examination Library for the project, which assigns each submitted document a unique Planning Inspectorate document reference. The Applicant will be using these references going forward. Other parties will also be able to cite the relevant

Section 51 advice reference	Summary of section 51 advice	Applicant's response
Observations Para 1 on Doc Refs	approach to numbering document series to allow for easier tracking and review of the documentation.	Planning Inspectorate document reference when referring to documents.
Applicant's Response Table: S55 Checklist: Row 30 (S50): General Observations Para 1 on Terminology	There is inconsistent terminology throughout the application. For example, the Statement of Reasons (Doc 4.1) refers to both 'Secretary of State' and 'SoS' throughout, without obvious rationale. The applicant is advised to apply a consistent approach in all submitted documents.	The Applicant undertook a consistency check of the submission documents prior to submission and, following a further spot-check in response to this advice, considers the terminology within the application documents to be sufficiently clear and legible. No updates are therefore proposed at this stage. However, when resubmitting documents during the pre-examination and examination stages, the Applicant will further check terminology to ensure a consistent approach throughout updated versions of submission documents to the extent that has not already been done.
Applicant's Response Table: S55 Checklist: Row 30 (S50): Land Plans and Work Plans	Examples of inconsistencies and insufficient labelling across plans. Swanmore Road is used as a location indicator within the BoR but is not labelled on the Land Plan (sheet 1C-01-010). The plot location needs to be more clearly identified as BoR suggests plot is 'west of Hook's Lane', however, it appears to be on Hook's Lane within the plan (sheet 2 – 03-009).	The Applicant is undertaking a review of the Land Plans – 1 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.2, DCO Volume 2, Version 1), the Land Plans – 2 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.2, DCO Volume 2, Version 1), and the Land Plans – 3 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.2, DCO Volume 2, Version 1) to ensure details are consistent between the plans and the Book of Reference (APP-027). The Applicant will provide updated Land Plans along with the Book of Reference when requested by the Examining Authority at appropriate deadlines during Examination. Abbreviations are shown on all sheets of the Works Plans – 1 of 3 documents (APP-012), Works Plans – 2 of 3 documents

Section 51 advice reference	Summary of section 51 advice	Applicant's response
	BoR refers to a location named 'Sparrowgrove House' for numerous plots, however, this is not visible on the plan. (Land Plans 2.2- 2 of 3 documents Sheet 26). On the Key Plan of Works Plans sheet, Works Plans – 1 of 3 documents (Doc 2.3) abbreviations of both the water recycling plant (WRP) and invasive non-native species (INNS) are present in the legend but do not appear on the plan. On sheets 3 – 11 of Works Plans – 2 of 3 documents and sheets 13 – 26 Works Plans – 3 of 3 documents) (Docs 2.3) Work No.6 Construction Compounds is listed in the legend, appears on the plan but are not labelled. On sheets 4, 6 to 8, 12 to 14 and 16 – 26 of Works Plans – 1 of 3 documents (Doc 2.3) and Works Plans – 2 of 3 documents (Doc 2.3) Work No.7, being the implementation of environmental mitigation and additional environmental enhancements, is listed in the legend, appears on the plan but are not labelled.	(APP-013), and Works Plans – 3 of 3 documents (APP-014) for consistency and clarity. Where Works No. 6, 7 and 8 appear on the Works Plans – 1 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.3, DCO Volume 2, Version 1), Works Plans – 2 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.3, DCO Volume 2, Version 1), and Works Plans – 3 of 3 documents (APP-014), these have not been individually labelled as the development for each of these numbered works as set out in Schedule 1 of the Draft Development Consent Order (APP-023) applies to every instance of that numbered work. Where only sub-works appear in Schedule 1 of the Draft Development Consent Order (APP-023) labels have been added. This applies to Work No. 5, where Work No. 5A, 5B, 5C, 5D and 5E are labelled. It is the Applicant's view that introducing labels for every instance of Work No. 6, 7 and 8 would introduce confusion and reduce the clarity of the plans due to the number of additional labels. ES Vol III – ES Figure 1.1 – Location of the Proposed Development and Order Limits (APP-210) shows the components as described in ES Vol I – ES Chapter 3 Description of the Proposed Development (APP-082). The Works Plans – 1 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.3, DCO Volume 2, Version 1), Works Plans – 2 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.3, DCO Volume 2, Version 1), and Works Plans – 3 of 3 documents (APP-014) show the different

Section 51 advice reference	Summary of section 51 advice	Applicant's response
	On sheets 3 to 8, 10, 13 to 15, 20, 24, 26 and 27 of Works Plans (Docs 2.3) Work No.8, the implementation of highway and access works, as shown in the Access and Rights of Way Plans (Docs 2.4), are listed in the legend, appear on the plan but are not labelled. Sheet 2 of ES Vol III - ES Figure 1.1 Location of the Proposed Development and Order Limits (Doc 6.3) labels Construction compound C-1 and WRP Construction compound. Sheet 4 of Works Plans – 2 of 3 documents (Doc 2.3) shows Work no.6 Construction Compounds covering areas that correspond with ES Figure 1.1 except for Construction Compound C-1 and WRP Construction compound; which on the Works Plan appear only as Work no.5 Above Ground Plant and Work no.1 Water Recycling Plant. Construction compound K-6 also does not appear on its corresponding sheet in the Works Plans; that area is solely marked as Work no.5D Break Pressure Tank K.	numbered works and each Work No. includes various components including construction compounds (where relevant) as set out in Schedule 1 of the Draft Development Consent Order (APP-023). For example, Work No.1 (WRP site) provides for the permanent WRP infrastructure and the temporary construction compound works. Similarly, Work No. 5 (AGP sites) provides for the permanent AGP infrastructure, temporary construction compound works and any access works.

Section 51 advice reference	Summary of section 51 advice	Applicant's response
Applicant's Response Table: S55 Checklist: Row 30 (S50): Work Plans 2 of 3	Sheet 17 of 19, the presentation of Inset C does not match Sheet 13 has orange, top left to bottom right diagonal hatching that do not match the hatchings used in the Legend.	Sheet 17 of 19 has been updated so that Inset C matches the detail shown on the main plan. The updated Works Plans – 2 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.3, DCO Volume 2, Version 1) have been submitted alongside this response. Sheet 13 of the Works Plans - 2 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.3, DCO Volume 2, Version 1) has been updated to ensure the hatching of Work No. 8 matches the hatching shown in the legend. The initial approach where the hatching did not match was taken as the angle of the hatching conflicted with the shape and angle of the Order Limits, meaning that the hatching was not visible at the angle used in the legend. All instances of this discrepancy have been updated, comprising Sheets 13, 14 and 20. The updated Works Plans – 2 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.3, DCO Volume 2, Version 1) have been submitted as part of this response.
Applicant's Response Table: S55 Checklist: Row 30 (S50): Work Plans 3 of 3	Sheet 24 Land Plans and Works Plans. INSET 24A shown on Land Plans but not Works Plans Sheet 25 Land Plans and Works Plans. INSET 25A shown on Land Plans but not Works Plans Sheet 26 Land Plans and Works Plans. INSET 26A and B shown on Land Plans but not Works Plans	All insets and Supplementary Sheet A shown on the Land Plans – 1 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.2, DCO Volume 2, Version 1), the Land Plans – 2 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.2, DCO Volume 2, Version 1), and the Land Plans – 3 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.2, DCO Volume 2, Version 1) are not shown on the Works Plans – 1 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.3, DCO Volume 2, Version 1), Works Plans – 2 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.3, DCO Volume 2, Version 1), and Works Plans – 3 of 3 documents (APP-014). This is because additional insets and Supplementary Sheet A have been used for the Land

Section 51 advice reference	Summary of section 51 advice	Applicant's response
	Sheet 27 Land Plans and Works Plans. INSET 27A shown on Land Plans but not Works Plans Sheet 28 Land Plans and Works Plans. INSET 28A shown on Land Plans but not Works Plans Supplementary Sheet A – as seen in Land Plans – 3 of 3 (Doc 2.2) – missing from plan Sheet 26 Works No.4 (plots 26-009 and 26-0.10) Draft DCO Schedule 7 states within the table alongside the Land Plans these plots are considered “temporary use of land”, however the intention is to install a pipeline. Is this correct?	Plans in areas where there are very small plots which would not be visible at the standard 1:2500 plan scale. Given the Works Plans do not show land plots, these additional insets and Supplementary Sheet A are not necessary. Plots 26-009 and 26-010 are required to temporarily divert a Public Right of Way during pipeline construction. Therefore, this land is only required temporarily.
Applicant's Response Table: S55 Checklist: Row 30 (S50): Plans of statutory and non-statutory sites or	The Singleton and Cocking Tunnels Special Area of Conservation (SAC) is not identified on this plan.	The Singleton and Cocking Tunnels SAC have been added to the updated version of the Plans of statutory and non-statutory sites or features (Document reference 2.7, DCO Volume 7, Version 1), which has been submitted alongside this response. Minor errors in the drawing list have also been resolved.

Section 51 advice reference	Summary of section 51 advice	Applicant's response
features (Doc 2.7)		
Applicant's Response Table: S55 Checklist: Row 30 (S50): HRA	The Singleton and Cocking Tunnels Special Area of Conservation (SAC) is screened into the Stage 2 assessment but it is not identified on the plans in Appendix B: Habitat Sites and Marine Conservation Zones. The footer uses an incorrect application document reference (Doc ref 6.2 instead of Doc ref 5.2). Headings for section 1.3 Legislation Summary and 5.3 Assessment of Adverse Effect on integrity for sites and features subject to LSE are missing from the contents table. The numbered bullets at paragraph 7.1.4 start at 4, numbers 1 to 3 are not used.	The Singleton and Cocking Tunnels SAC have been added to the updated version of Appendix B of the Habitats Regulations Assessment – Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment and Marine Conservation Zone – Stage 1 Assessment (Document reference 5.2, DCO Volume 5, Version 1), which has been submitted alongside this response. The footer has been updated to show Doc ref 5.2 throughout the document. Headings for sections 1.3 and 5.3 have been added to the contents page. The numbered bullets in section 7.1.4 have been updated to start from number 1.
Applicant's Response Table: S55 Checklist: Row 30 (S50): PPS	Case for the Project document (Doc 5.6) (CtFP) incorrectly referred to as Doc 5.7 in paragraphs 1.3.3 and 1.5.15 Paragraph 1.5.17 incorrectly states 'need' case is given in chapter 3 of the CtFP whereas it is actually given in chapter 2.	An updated version of the Planning Policy Statement (Document reference 5.5, DCO Volume 5, Version 1) has been produced which corrects these errors: - The Case for the Project (APP-057) is now referenced as Document Reference 5.6 at paragraphs 1.3.3 and 1.5.15.

Section 51 advice reference	Summary of section 51 advice	Applicant's response
	Paragraphs 1.5.19 and 5.1.2 refer to Schedule 15 of dDCO when that schedule does not exist. Paragraph 5.4.16 incorrectly states "As set out in PPS paragraph 5.3.17" rather than 5.3.16 In appendix C, against 2.6.3.2, column 'Assessment and relevant application documents' is blank	- Paragraph 1.5.17 has been updated to refer to chapter 2 of the Case for the Project (APP-057). - The references to Schedule 15 of the Draft Development Consent Order (APP-023) have been updated to Schedule 9. - Paragraph 5.4.16 has been updated to cross reference to paragraph 5.3.16. - The assessment against paragraph 2.6.3.2 in appendix C has been added.
Applicant's Response Table: S55 Checklist: Row 30 (S50): ES Fig 1.1	Work No. 7 environmental mitigation and enhancement areas and Work No. 8 highways' works are not shown or annotated on ES Figure 1.1.	Work No. 7 and 8 are not shown on ES Vol III – ES Figure 1.1 Location of the Proposed Development and Order Limits (APP-210) as these areas are shown on the Works Plans – 1 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.3, DCO Volume 2, Version 1), Works Plans – 2 of 3 documents (Document reference 2.3, DCO Volume 2, Version 1), and Works Plans – 3 of 3 documents (APP-014), as explained in the ES. The ES has been undertaken based on the spatial parameters and limits secured by the Works Plans and other relevant controls within the DCO application. The Environmental Mitigation and Enhancement Areas referenced in the ES are set out in more detail in the Design Principles Document (APP-076) and the locations are shown in Appendix B of the Design Principles Document (APP-076).

Section 51 advice reference	Summary of section 51 advice	Applicant's response
		ES Vol III – ES Figure 1.1 Location of the Proposed Development and Order Limits (APP-210) provides the location of the Order Limits and any other relevant boundaries and limits so that the ES can be understood. It is not intended for this figure to mirror the Works Plans.
Applicant's Response Table: S55 Checklist: Row 30 (S50): ES Fig 8.1	The Singleton and Cocking Tunnels SAC and Titchfield Haven Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) are assessed in ES Vol I Chapter 8 (Doc ref 6.1) but not identified on ES Figure 8.1. The Solent and Isle of Wight Lagoons SAC is not labelled on ES Figure 8.1.	The Singleton and Cocking Tunnels SAC, Titchfield Haven Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) and the Solent and Isle of Wight Lagoons SAC have been added to the updated version of ES Vol III – ES Figure 8.1 Statutory designated sites within the desk study area (Document reference 6.3, Volume 6, Version 1) which has been submitted alongside this response.
Applicant's Response Table: S55 Checklist: Row 30 (S50): ES Fig 8.2	The Singleton and Cocking Tunnels SAC is assessed in ES Chapter 8 (Doc ref 6.1) but not identified on ES Figure 8.2.	The Singleton and Cocking Tunnels SAC has been added to the updated version of ES Vol III – ES Figure 8.2 Internationally designated sites within the desk study area (Document reference 6.3, Volume 6, Version 1) which has been submitted alongside this response.
Applicant's Response Table: S55 Checklist: Row 30 (S50): ES Fig 8.3	The location and extent of sites of importance for nature conservation (SINC) are shown on ES Figure 8.3 but there is no labelling system so it is not possible to cross-reference to the named SINC's assessed in ES Chapter 8.	All SINC's referenced in ES Chapters have been added to the updated version of ES Vol III – ES Figure 8.3 Non-statutory designated sites within the desk study area (Document reference 6.3, Volume 6, Version 1) which has been submitted alongside this response.